

Introduction

Business is quite delicate for the buyer and risky for the seller on the other hand. Despite of various kinds of risks involved with the commerce world, the continuous business agreements are going in a swift manner by maintaining buoyancy in the current global economy. It is quite natural factor that there is going to be disputes and controversies in the business dealings and dispute is considered to be an additional risk linked with the business operations. The custom of settlement of debate through an impartial outsider named by the gatherings has solid inception in India. This kind of third party indulgence of justice is both the sign of ethics and moral disposition and also serves as an authoritative legal settlement.

Due to the development of globalization, administration, and progression there has been a continuous requirement of speedy techniques for settling any kind of disputes. Mediation is a favored method of question assurance picked by parties with the help of which parties purposely agree to introduce their case to a fair-minded outsider and agree to be bound by his/her decision¹. Assertion is considered to be the term that itself suggests a strategy for settling off inquiry outside the court which is a kind of elective level headed discussion assurance. Due to the intervention, an outcast explores the verification and gives the decision which is legally official on the two sides and is in like manner enforceable in the courts.

According to the research it has been found that India is known to be the only country that has developed the economic conditions in a quick manner. Where there is a high rate of financial improvement, basically it mean the extension of wage, change of getting power,

¹ http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/28837/15/15_chapter%208.pdf

likewise it prompts the advancement of reasonable demand and supply which finally results into the lifestyle, future, nature of human life and others.

Requirement for the development of Arbitration to solve International Disputes in the recent circumstances:

Arbitration is considered to be medium for providing expeditious and effective structure of dispute resolution which is not relevant with the court proceedings. The reason behind the fact is that court proceedings used to take several years for resolving any disputes between two parties. It can be seen that the parties use to submit themselves for arbitration as the process provides a faster resolution as well as disposal from various kinds of disputes thereby leaving little amount of possibility for prolongation of the commercial disputes². Due to these factors it helps in inspiring the foreign investors for investing in India thereby reassuring the international investors to gain the reliability factor of Indian legal structure for providing cheaper, flexible, and expeditious mechanism of dispute resolution.

According to the research it has been identified that the resolution of any disputes with the help of arbitration is considered to be cheaper, expeditious and also quite flexible way of solving any commercial disputes. Recently, arbitration helps in solving the international disputes to a great extent and the parties generally prefer this particular statute to resolve complex disputes. Arbitration is supposed to be the most expendable source that is available for the traditional court system³. Researchers have found that the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 has been sub-divided into two separate segments. The first segment deals with the process

² http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/28837/15/15_chapter%208.pdf

³

https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/publications/dispute_resolution_magazine/March_2012_Sussman_Wilkinson_March_5.authcheckdam.pdf

of Arbitration which is being conducted within India and among its own enforcement. The second segment helps in providing arbitration for the foreign countries and enforcement related to the foreign awards.

Finding:

During the time of thorough research it has been revealed that the law of intervention in India has been experiencing philosophical changes in the past few decades. Thorough researches have found the fact that there had been several amendments being done related to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act till the year 1996. It has been identified that there were three separate statutes related to arbitration in India which includes Arbitration (Protocol and Convention) Act, 1937, the Foreign Awards (Recognition and Enforcement) Act, 1961 and the Indian Arbitration Act, 1940⁴. Now the Arbitration Act which took place in the year 1940 had been dealing only with the arbitration that was taking place in India. There has been a continuous requirement of reforming the Arbitration Act, 1940 thereby amending the law related to the domestic arbitration, enactment of the foreign arbitral awards, and international commercial arbitration. A requirement of defining the law related to conciliation has also come forward and which further resulted in the introduction of Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996⁵.

According to the research it has been found that the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 has a great importance towards the progress of the alternative systems of dispute resolution. It is basically dependent on the UNCITRAL (United Nations Commission on International Trade

⁴ Von Glahn, G., & Taulbee, J. L. (2017). Law among nations: an introduction to public international law. Routledge.

⁵ Ng, E. (2017). Feeding the Minotaur: Is International Commercial Arbitration Hindering the Development of Commercial Law?. Asian Dispute Review, 19(1), 22-28.

Law) model. Based on the practical thinking it has been found that the road of the International Commercial Arbitration in India has not been smooth and easy. There have been several kinds of loopholes which resulted in creation of obstruction while working with this particular method in India. In the research it has been found that there has been an emergent requirement of modifying or amending several provisions related to the Arbitration Act, 1996 while changing the mindset of various potential parties who are being associated⁶.

In the recent era of globalization it can be seen that the International commercial has been getting fame as the best method to determine any complex kind of commercial disputes that exists in this world. Due to these factor different states has been modernizing their own law suits for facilitating this new requirement. The international commercial arbitration is regarded as the private method for resolving the disputes and this method is being chosen by the parties on their own. They consider this method to be an effective method for ending various kinds of commercial disputes without proceeding towards the courts of law. Different countries are conducting the International Commercial arbitration along with India against various kinds of cultural and legal backgrounds.

Researchers have found that the Indian economy is in the eleventh position in the whole world due to their nominal GDP. Arbitration is known to capture the crucial position in the commercial dispute resolution of India. The importance of arbitration has been recognized by the governing bodies and great efforts are being made in the recent years for modernizing the law to become accustomed with the business environment⁷. The certainty of the international business

⁶ Baamir, A. Y. (2016). *Shari'a Law in Commercial and Banking Arbitration: Law and Practice in Saudi Arabia*. Routledge.

⁷ Loya, K., Kabra, A., & Desai, V. (2018). Arbitration in India: The Srikrishna Report—A Critique. *Asian Dispute Review*, 2018(1), 4-11.

group on India as a reasonable venue for international business arbitration can be improved if advance improvements are being made in the field of institutional arbitration. Further researches have also found that the online arbitration can help in providing convenient and effective mechanism for mitigating the precious litigation as well as uncertainty with respect to the cyber disputes.

The Indian regulation of arbitration has been sub-divided into three separate enactments that include the Arbitration Act of 1937, the Arbitration Act of 1940, and Foreign Awards Act of 1961. The adoption of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 by India is dependent on the UNCITRAL Model law with the initiative for modernizing arbitration thereby honoring the commitment towards international mercantile group. This process provides a possible kind of forum to settle the disputes related to international commercial arbitration.

In the research it has been identified that India has an estimation of around 31 million pending cases in several courts across the country. In the year 2015 it has been noted that there had been about 59,272 cases being pending in the Supreme Court of India and about 3.8 million cases are being pending in the High Courts. The dispute of the land and real estate can be solved within a span of twenty years which is quite long time. Various kinds of researches have proved the fact that the dispute resolution process has a great impact on Indian economy as well as global perception during the time of doing business in India⁸. There are several dissimilarities associated with all the alternative dispute resolution techniques that are being identified. In the process of arbitration it can be seen that the arbitrator is present for going through the evidences and later on takes proper decision.

⁸ Bult, A. K., Halligan, D. W., Pray, J., & Zack Jr, J. G. (2016). Dispute Avoidance and Alternative Dispute Resolution. In *Construction Contract Claims, Changes, and Dispute Resolution* (pp. 347-385).

Arbitration is somehow similar with the court process in which the parties used to provide several testimony and evidences during the time of a trial. But, arbitration is known to be less formal. On the other hand it can be seen that in mediation is found to be the process of negotiation with the help of neutral third party in which the mediators are not using any kind of orders. In spite of that the mediators use to help the parties for reaching a shared opinion thereby reaching to any settlement. Another identified dispute resolution process is conciliation which helps in development of a positive relationship within the parties who are involved in the commercial disputes. Conciliation helps in individualizing the ultimate solution and instructs the parties to gain certain satisfactory agreement. The fourth mode of alternative dispute resolution is known to be negotiation which is known to be the process the parties are given the freedom for finding any possible solution for enhancing both of their satisfaction level by providing several offerings as well as counter offerings without notifying the legal body.

This particular paper concentrates mainly on the internationally recognized method of dispute resolution which is Arbitration. The complex process of arbitration involves different stages which are as follows:

1. Primarily, during the time of entering into any contract at first the parties are required to agree the fact that in case of certain crucial conflict, the dispute needs to be resolved only with the help of an arbitrator. Thus, in the first step an arbitration notice is going to be issued by any of the party involved in the dispute or conflict⁹.

⁹ Ng, E. (2017). Feeding the Minotaur: Is International Commercial Arbitration Hindering the Development of Commercial Law?. *Asian Dispute Review*, 19(1), 22-28.

2. In the next step, a response is going to be given by the other party and followed by it an appointment is going to be fixed by the arbitrator for looking after the procedures and rules related to the decision making.
3. After commencing the arbitration proceeding, the next step is going to be formal hearings followed by the written proceedings.
4. According to the requirement of the case, the arbitrator can include provisional reliefs which are followed by binding of the two parties.

After the commencement of these four steps of arbitration if the parties are still not happy with the judgment then they have an option to challenge the same case before the court for getting proper judgment. During the research it has been found that India had the tradition of arbitration from several decades. In the villages of India, Panchayats had been the form of dealing with the alternative dispute resolution. The Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act 2015 realized certain essential alterations which would be basic in providing support to the international arbitration within the nation¹⁰. A standout amongst the most broadly talked about amendments is the settling of a one year time span for settling the arbitral issues¹¹. This timetable might be reached out by a time of a half year with the assent of the parties.

Researchers have analyzed the fact that an arbitrator is required to be appointed within a span of six months and need to accept the challenge of providing award to both the parties within a single year. Now the overall costs related to the proceedings by the arbitrator can be resolute on the conduct of the parties. The arbitrator is given the right for conferring higher costs during the case when any party seeks awkward adjournments. With developing global business exchange

¹⁰ Baamir, A. Y. (2016). *Shari'a Law in Commercial and Banking Arbitration: Law and Practice in Saudi Arabia*. Routledge.

¹¹ Debroy, B., & Jain, S. (2016). *Strengthening Arbitration and its Enforcement in India—Resolve in India*.

and understandings, the international arbitration is found to be a developing manifold. It can be seen that the parties from various countries and jurisdictions are hesitant to subject themselves to jurisdictions of other nations. To create India as a worldwide center point for international arbitration it is quite crucial that we need to open ourselves into the outside world and consolidate the best possible practices for making world class Institutional and lawful methodology.

India has various kinds of valuable HR in law and also different controls which can encourage support thereby sustaining the household arbitration biological community in India. Lawful changes are unquestionably a positive development to reinforce the intervention. The first initiative that needs to be taken is about the requirement of decentralizing of dispute resolution method in the form of private market oriented solutions¹². To begin with among these is the need to decentralize debate determination component as a private market based arrangement. Gatherings can resolve secretly through constituted councils without contacting courts. This would require a lively intervention bar also regarded pool of the seasoned arbitrators who construct enough certainty among the “potentially litigant” group that they look for determination through intervention as compared to legal system. It would likewise require an authoritative instrument to guarantee that intervention matters would need to deal with independently and proficiently. For this, the legislature would need to make an empowering structure for institutional arbitration that consists of arbitration events, preparing and meetings.

According to the research it has been found that a fundamental issue is identified which is the vitality of the court to set aside an Arbitration, that is to secure a reasonable and legitimate response for the inquiry. Internationally, in any case, the slant is towards binding the power, with

¹² Debroy, B., & Jain, S. (2016). Strengthening Arbitration and its Enforcement in India—Resolve in India.

an ultimate objective to keep its abuse by a reluctant social occasion. Indian law, too, should advance toward this way, without bargaining the benefits of the social affairs to have a convincing lawful control. This can be refined by limiting the explanation behind setting aside Arbitration. Particularly, the ground of failure to apply the suitable law to the question should be ousted, as it senselessly opens the course for the substantive review of Arbitration. Similarly, the course of action empowering the court to set aside an Arbitration, if there is a defect or exhibits of them in the intercession concede or in the strategies to the extent that it impacts the terms of the Arbitration, should be removed, as it doesn't give an indisputable significance of such disfigurements¹³.

Furthermore there has been a call for exhibiting to the world that Indian arbitral foundations are homogenized with the world which can convey a successful arbitration work at minimal cost. In the research it can be seen that majority of the Indian cities have the vital Infrastructures like correspondence with different offices to encourage international arbitrators. India is on the track of setting up trust in its lawful framework which is the basic condition for any nation to end up a mechanism of international arbitration. Obviously, that normal amendment linked with the Arbitration laws is to stay up to date with financial changes that would be required. It has been found that India has effectively done the needful in such a manner as of late. Now the present need changes in the execution of the administrative changes by the legal alongside working of institutional limit in the nation. At exactly that point would we have the capacity to "resolve in India"¹⁴.

¹³ Loya, K., Kabra, A., & Desai, V. (2018). Arbitration in India: The Srikrishna Report—A Critique. *Asian Dispute Review*, 2018(1), 4-11.

¹⁴ Debroy, B., & Jain, S. (2016). Strengthening Arbitration and its Enforcement in India—Resolve in India.

The Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996 through Arbitration Ordinance 2015 another time

The Government of India have managed to change the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 by displaying the Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Bill, 2015 in front of the Parliament. The Union Cabinet trooped by the Prime Minister, had given its help for amendments to the Arbitration and Conciliation Bill, 2015 thinking about the Law Commission's suggestion, and recommendations got from accessories. During the time of endeavouring to make carefulness a favoured methodology for settlement of business argument and developing India into an inside reason for overall business intercession, the President of India on 23rd October 2015 announced an Ordinance ("Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Ordinance, 2015) altering the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996¹⁵.

According to the research it has been identified that the change which has been passed on to the 1996 Act is completely a positive progress towards making circumspection rapid, satisfactory and a practical relief. The new remedies attempt to check the takes a shot at inciting wastage of time and affecting the declaration to process prohibitively a costly endeavour. After that the current law likely made the disclosure by the arbitrator about his independence and impartiality more sensible when appeared differently in relation to a revealed custom under the past organization. Making the judge responsible for deferral in the intercession strategies, for the reasons inferable from him, would ensure that the refs don't take up mediations, which are past their capacities. Such prevention would douse up poise and control among the judges¹⁶. One may state that the present changes completely travel an extra mile towards reducing the impediment

¹⁵ Merrills, J. G. (2017). International dispute settlement. Cambridge university press.

¹⁶ Subedi, S. P. (2016). International investment law: reconciling policy and principle. Bloomsbury Publishing.

of the Court in intercession methods that has been an anticipated effort of the committee since going of the 1996 Act¹⁷.

Suggestions:

In the research it has been identified that there are various kinds of loopholes associated with the International Commercial Arbitration and Indian Arbitration Act of 1996. For overcoming such loopholes suggestions are being presented in this particular segment. In this portion five loopholes are being identified followed by the necessary suggestion to it which are as follows:

1. The first loophole that is being identified is that the Arbitration Act of 1996 has been applied both for the domestic as well as international arbitrations irrespective of the Model Law that has been designed for applying just into the International Commercial Arbitration. The suggestion related to this particular loophole is that the International Commercial Arbitration and the domestic arbitration is required to be kept in separate positions¹⁸. This particular initiative is going to bring clarity and effectiveness for the parties of said legislation. For that reason, the Indian legislature needs to adopt a separate act for the International Commercial Arbitration.
2. The second loophole is that the term “Arbitration” was not previously designed under the Arbitration Act of 1996. Like other lawful administrations, India has denied characterizing the arbitration and has rather endeavoured to recognize it from different strategies for alternative dispute resolution. For solving this serious issue,

¹⁷ Jeong, H. W. (2017). Peace and conflict studies: An introduction. Taylor & Francis.

¹⁸ http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/110130/17/17_chapter%207.pdf

- the Indian legislature was required to confine the scope of this term by mitigating the disputes of interpretation without making the scope of confusion larger.
3. The third loophole is regarding the uncertainty and ambiguous definition of the particular word Court has resulted in creating a difficult situation for the business community and the legal fraternity. The suggestion to this issue is that the Indian legislature is required to input some current definition of the word Court¹⁹. The Supreme Court need to be the most exclusive forum that will allow enforcing the foreign awards in India. A permit needs to be given for transferring all the issues that are being pending in the District Court.
 4. The fourth loophole that is being found in the Finding 4.1) Section 2(1) (f) of the Arbitration Act, 1996 which have characterized the word "Universal Commercial Arbitration", which gets rules from the commentary added to Article 1 of the Model law. It shows up from this Section that the nationalities of the persons involved in disputes are the main determinants for constituting an International Commercial Arbitration and not the problem with arbitration. Consequently, if the disputants to specific commercial and international intercourse are Indian nationals, in that situation the international commercial arbitration under the Arbitration Act, 1996 would not be conjured. Moreover, the Section 2(1)(f) of the Arbitration Act, 1996 characterize "International Commercial Arbitration" however sees no difference amongst International Business Arbitrations that occur in India with exterior of India. The suggestion to this issue is that a new definition needs to be inserted in the Act for the word "International Commercial Arbitration".

¹⁹ http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/110130/17/17_chapter%207.pdf

5. The fifth loophole which has been found is that the Arbitration Act of 1996 have not defined the term “International Arbitration” beforehand. Moreover, it can be seen that the Indian legislature has utilized the phrase known as “International Commercial Arbitration” in Section 2(1)(f) of the Arbitration Act in the year 1996. The suggestion for rectifying this loophole is that there need to be a proposal for defining “International Commercial Arbitration” that is commercial by nature²⁰. The reason behind the fact is that all the cases are not considered to be commercial in nature.

²⁰ http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/110130/17/17_chapter%207.pdf

Bibliography

1. Baamir, A. Y. (2016). Shari'a Law in Commercial and Banking Arbitration: Law and Practice in Saudi Arabia. Routledge.
2. Bult, A. K., Halligan, D. W., Pray, J., & Zack Jr, J. G. (2016). Dispute Avoidance and Alternative Dispute Resolution. In Construction Contract Claims, Changes, and Dispute Resolution (pp. 347-385).
3. Debroy, B., & Jain, S. (2016). Strengthening Arbitration and its Enforcement in India—Resolve in India.
4. http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/110130/17/17_chapter%207.pdf
5. https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/publications/dispute_resolution_magazine/March_2012_Sussman_Wilkinson_March_5.authcheckdam.pdf
6. Jeong, H. W. (2017). Peace and conflict studies: An introduction. Taylor & Francis.
7. Loya, K., Kabra, A., & Desai, V. (2018). Arbitration in India: The Srikrishna Report—A Critique. Asian Dispute Review, 2018(1), 4-11.
8. Merrills, J. G. (2017). International dispute settlement. Cambridge university press.
9. Ng, E. (2017). Feeding the Minotaur: Is International Commercial Arbitration Hindering the Development of Commercial Law?. Asian Dispute Review, 19(1), 22-28.
10. Subedi, S. P. (2016). International investment law: reconciling policy and principle. Bloomsbury Publishing.

11. Von Glahn, G., & Taulbee, J. L. (2017). *Law among nations: an introduction to public international law*. Routledge.